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ABSTRACT

The authors present a new therapeutic option for implant application in the upper maxilla with bone availability less than 4 mm by using 3D scaffolds obtained from antigen-free porcine cartilage in the fit-lock technique. A longitudinal study on 18 consecutive cases was performed, with a 95.2% success rate one year after the implant. The advantages of this new technique are: 1) Functional and anatomical recovery of the maxillary antrum, 2) Immediate application of the implants; 3) Reduction of surgical times; 4) Absence of patient morbidity; 5) Local anesthesia; 6) Use of implants with a diameter > 4 mm.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgical procedures for implant applications on the lateral-upper areas depend on sinus pneumatization and the availability of residual bone. An analysis of the international literature shows that when bone availability is less than 4 mm, as in the Misch IV classification, it is necessary to proceed...
firstly with a reconstructive-regenerative phase, and then with a successive surgical phase for implant insertion. In these cases, autologous bone remains the gold standard. However, because of post-surgical complications, morbidity and sometimes insufficient bone availability in the harvest area, clinicians often option for alternate regenerative techniques and materials which do not have osteoinductive properties. The review of international literature reveals that in the recent years, tissue engineering has focused on bone reconstruction techniques with 3D scaffolds for in vitro and in vivo regenerative strategies, in order to achieve biological and mechanical tissue restoration.

The main advantages of these materials are their potential low immunogenicity, bioactive behavior and ability to interact with host tissues. Furthermore, natural 3D scaffolds of animal origin contain collagen, fibrinogen, chitosan and hyaluronic acid, compounds that provide the capacity to interact with the host tissues.

In general, the required scaffold characteristics are:

- 3D structure to provide a temporary skeletal support until neo-tissue formation.
- Low or absent antigenicity to avoid antibody reactions.
- Biodegradability, an essential factor for resorption by the surrounding tissues, without the need for surgical removal.
- Resorption speed rate, through which the degradation occurs, coinciding as much as possible with the new tissue formation.
- Structural integrity, able to support a mechanical stress.
- Porosity, to facilitate the seeding and/or spread of cells and nutrients throughout the entire structure.

Although the authors have previously described an implant application technique for maxillary sinus augmentation with autologous bone, they propose the use of an antigen-free pig cartilage 3D scaffold (Condrotek, Tecnoss Ltd.) as a substitute for autologous bone harvest, either as an implant stabilizer or bone tissue regenerating material with the fitlock technique.

The aim of this longitudinal study was to evaluate the effectiveness of this method, focusing on implant stability and regenerative capacity. To this end, a 1-year prospective follow-up study was performed on a sample of 18 subjects undergoing 21 great sinus augmentation and 41 Tekka implant applications.

Resonance frequency (Osstell mentor) was used to evaluate the degree of stability, and Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CT) was used to evaluate the degree of regeneration, taking as a reference the histomorphometric data performed on animals.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

About 50 patients were admitted to the Department of Dental Sciences of the “Sapienza University” of Rome and the Japanese municipal hospital of Santa Cruz de la Sierra between March 2012 and December 2012 for implant-supported prosthetic rehabilitation of the posterior upper areas.

All patients were screened according to our clinical implant protocol for prosthetic rehabilitation. During this time, 18 patients were included in the study according to the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

**Exclusion criteria:**

- Poor oral hygiene
- Acute or chronic sinusitis in the maxillary sinus
- Patients with absolute risk factors
- Patients subject to Cadwell Luc treatments
- Patients subject to radiotherapy
- Patients with bone height greater than 4mm.

**Inclusion criteria:**

- Radiological bone height less than 4 mm.
- Obtained patient consent to participate to the study.
- Obtained patient consent to undergo periodical clinical follow-up.

Twenty out of 50 patients were excluded. Of the remaining 30 patients, 10 did not provide informed consent and 2 refused to undergo the follow-up. Thus, the remaining group was composed of 18 patients.

The group was composed of 11 males with mean age 47.7 years and 7 females with mean age 48.5 years, for a total of 21 great sinus augmentations and 41 implants inserted.

In all patients we utilized Tekka dental implants in Grade 5 titanium, with a cylindrical-conical shape with increasing thread depth (Condensing Thread) and a sandblasted and acid etched SA2 surface tre-
atment consisting of a blasting with 260 micron corundum particles followed by a double chemical attack in an acid bath. The total number of implants was 41, distributed as follows: 2 implants in zone 14; 3 implants in zone 15; 9 implants in zone 16; 7 implants in zone 17; 1 implants in zone 24; 5 implants in zone 25; 8 implants in zone 26 and 6 implants in zone 27. The measurements of the implants were as follows: 24 implants with 3.5 mm diameter and 11.5 mm height; 15 with 4mm diameter and 11.5 mm height; 2 with 3.5 mm diameter and 13 mm height. In our implant surgical protocol, we used porcine antigen-free cartilage 3D scaffolds (Condrotek of Tecnoss Ltd.) as a graft substitute in order to gain implant stability and osteoconductive properties. The choice of this scaffold was based on its physical and mechanical properties fulfilling the requisites, and on in vivo histomorphometric results. All implants were subject to evaluations of resonance frequency during the different follow-up periods. The Osstell Mentor (Osstell instrument, Integration Diagnostics AB, Gothenburg, Sweden) was used for measurements, at time of insertion (time zero, T0), at six months (time 1, T1) and at 12 months (time 2, T2) after progressive loading. Operative protocol Two hours before surgery, patients are treated pharmacologically with 2g amoxicillin and clavulanic acid, to prevent surgical site infections (SSI). Asepsis of the surgical field: intraoral with chlorhexidine gluconate 0.2% mouthwash for 2 minutes, and extraoral with povidone (polyvinyl pyrrolidone, PVP). Isolation of the operative field with sterile surgical drapes. Local anesthesia with mepivacaine hydrochloride (1:100,000 IU) in the plexic and troncular maxillary area (retromolar trigone, palatal vestibular and retroincisive canal). 1. Flap design, primary crestal incision and secondary full-thickness releasing incisions (trapezoidal flap). 2. Flap detachment with Freeman periosteal elevator. 3. Exposure of the vestibular cortical malar bone surface up to the retromolar tuberosity. 4. Osteotomy of the vestibular wall of the maxillary sinus with oscillating saw. 5. Detachment of the osteotomised wall with the exposure of Schneider membrane. The Bony Window fragment is placed in a sterile container with physiological solution to prevent dehydration. 6. Detachment of the Schneider membrane is performed starting from the back portion to pass with gentle movements to the front and then inferior portions to achieve complete detachment and exposure of the bone portion of maxillary sinus medial wall. 7. Cavity rinse with antibiotic solution (gentamicin 80 mg.) 8. Preparation of the undersized alveolar surgical implant socket. 9. Rehydration of the 3D scaffold with antibiotic solution. 10. Scaffold insertion in the maxillary sinus keeping it stable with straight tissue tweezers until the complete implant crewing with a low speed handpiece 360/1. 11. Filling of the spaces with collagen-based antigen-free bovine bone (MP3 Tecnoss SRL) filling material. 12. Bony Window Repositioning. 13. Separated point suture. Statistical methods Test of normality for stability measure distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) Descriptive statistics of stability measures: measures of central tendency (mean, median, mode) and variability (variance, standard deviation, standard error, quartile deviation) Non-parametric analysis of variance Friedman test Post hoc test Objective: to determine whether the stability of the inserted implants, as measured by “the implant stability quotient” (ISQ), increases progressively and in a statistically significant manner from the time of insertion (ISQ T0) up to six (ISQ T1) and 12 months (ISQ T2) after insertion. RESULTS The overall results show only two implant losses, caused in one case by the temporary removable prosthesis and in the other by a post-surgical infection occurring 15 days from insertion. As for the other 39 implants, no complications were observed during the course of the study.
The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test performed on the implant stability measures detected at times T0, T1 and T2, reveal an approximately normal distribution (P> 0.20).

In detail, we compared the implant stability values at the three time points using the non-parametric Friedman test.

The values (mean and median) of implant stability increase progressively over time, i.e., T2 ISQ values are on average higher than T1 ISQ values and the latter are on average higher than those at T0. (Table 1)

ANOVA Friedman test shows that the differences between the median ISQ values registered at the three time points are statistically significant (Table 2).

Comparison between the ISQ values recorded at times T0, T1, and T2. The smallest squares at the center of the box represent the median values, the box plots represent the values comprised between the lowest and the highest quartile, while the vertical axes indicate the minimum and maximum values recorded (Fig. 1).

A significant value obtained with the Friedman test indicates that at least one of three examined conditions is significantly different from the others. However, this test does not show which condition is different and how many conditions differ from the others. So, in order to determine which condition differs from the others, a post hoc test with a critical Z value of 2.394 is applied.

The z values of the three possible comparisons between conditions are the following: ISQ T0 vs. T1 ISQ, z = 4.416; ISQ T0 vs. T2 ISQ, z = 8.832; ISQ T1 vs. T2 ISQ, z = 4.416.

Since all z values exceed the abovementioned critical value, we conclude that the differences between the three conditions are all statistically significant.

Moreover, this result is further confirmed after a control parametric analysis (ANOVA for repeated measures and t-test for dependent data).

**Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the three implant stability measures.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ISQ T0</th>
<th>ISQ T1</th>
<th>ISQ T2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid N</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>39.666</td>
<td>47.153</td>
<td>60.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of Mode</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Quartile</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Quartile</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>11.385</td>
<td>10.975</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>3.374</td>
<td>3.312</td>
<td>3.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coef.Var.</td>
<td>8.506</td>
<td>7.025</td>
<td>6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ISQ= implant stability quotient

Values (mean and median) of implant stability increase progressively over time, i.e. the ISQ T2 values are on average higher than ISQ T1 values and these latter are on average higher than those at ISQ T0.

**Table 2: Friedman ANOVA and Kendal Coeff. of Concordance.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ISQ T0</th>
<th>ISQ T1</th>
<th>ISQ T2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Rank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of Rank</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>39.666</td>
<td>47.153</td>
<td>60.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>3.374</td>
<td>3.312</td>
<td>3.794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ISQ= implant stability quotient

ANOVA Chi Sqr.(N=39, df=2)=78.0 p=0.000

Coef. of Concordance= 1.000 Ave.Rank =1.000

ANOVA Friedman test shows that the differences between the median ISQ values registered at the three time points are statistically significant.

**Fig. 1: Comparison between the ISQ values recorded at times T0, T1, and T2. The smallest squares at the center of the box indicate the median values, the box plots indicate values comprised between the lowest and the highest quartile, while the vertical axes indicate the minimum and maximum recorded values.**
DISCUSSION
Case studies on implant survival in posterior lateral areas type SA4 reported in literature ranges between 90 and 97%.
These data are influenced by several factors (Del Fabbro 2004):
- The survival of autologous bone alone is, for example, about 87.70% while in combination with bone substitutes it is about 94.88%, and when only bone substitutes are used, survival is about 95.98%;
- Implant production methodology. The survival of non-treated implants is about 85.6%, while if implants are treated superficially and made rough, the percentage rises to 95.8%;
- Implant insertion time does significantly influence implants if applied in the same surgical time or if when regeneration is complete, in fact, in the first case the survival rate is 92.17%, while in the second it is 92.93%.

Some surgical protocols in international literature suggest that when bone availability is thinner than 4 mm, as in the Misch IV classification, it is necessary to intervene with a first reconstructive-regenerative phase and then proceed to a second surgical phase of implant insertion.

These protocols are justified by the fact that some authors claim that survival is also influenced by the quality and density of the bone, which is reduced in these areas and may therefore threaten implant stability.

Nedir et al., in 2009, proposed one-stage surgery with simultaneous insertion of implants in patients with atrophic maxilla without grafting, provided that primary stability was guaranteed.

Other authors later proposed the contextual implant placement, with a wider sample without filling or with PRF (platelet-rich fibrin) with 100% success in situations of lower bone availability, in order to reduce the surgical steps.

The technique described seems to ensure a good success rate (95.2% one year after insertion) in Misch IV class cases with simultaneous implant insertion.

The technique allows immediate implant stabilization, a result also associated with the innovative implant design which certainly improves the performance in cases of reduced bone density.

Compared to similar techniques, the one described herein appears to be simpler and more reproducible. In addition, it is not operator-dependent and requires less biological and economic efforts from the patient, considerably reducing the prosthetic rehabilitation period.

The results indicate that the stability of implants inserted via the Fit-lock 3D scaffold technique increases progressively over time in a statistically significant manner.

That is, the stability recorded one year post-insertion (ISQ T2) is significantly higher than that recorded after six months (ISQ T1) and the latter is significantly higher than that recorded at the time of implant insertion (ISQ T0).

Even in the post hoc analysis, the differences between the three conditions are statistically significant.

The given ISQ value is in line with the safety values reported by other authors, which allow different implant loading according to the biological times.

As has been documented, problems occur when, in cases of dental-implant incompatibility, an onlay graft is necessary.

This procedure certainly represents a therapeutic option, given the clinical results comparable to other techniques described in literature.

In view of these results, the authors confirm that primary stability is the basic requirement to ensure proper implant healing and demonstrate that the fit-lock technique enables this to be achieved, even in conditions of reduced bone availability.

Given the small number of patients, additional histomorphometric analysis on a larger cohort of samples is recommended.
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