# Parental perceptions of impact of oral disorders on Colombian preschoolers' oral health-related quality of life

Shyrley Díaz<sup>1</sup>, María Mondol<sup>1</sup>, Angélica Peñate<sup>1</sup>, Guillermina Puerta<sup>1</sup>, Marcelo Boneckër<sup>2</sup>, Saul Martins Paiva<sup>3</sup>, Jenny Abanto<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de Cartagena, Facultad de Odontología, Departamento de Odontología Preventiva y Social, Cartagena, Colombia

<sup>2</sup> Universidade de São Paulo, Faculdade de Odontologia, Departamento de Odontopediatria, Sao Paulo, Brasil

<sup>3</sup> Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Faculdade de Odontologia, Departamento de Odontopediatria, Minas Gerais, Brasil

<sup>4</sup> Universidade de Sao Paulo, Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Departamento de Epidemiologia, Sao Paulo , Brasil.

#### ABSTRACT

There is no study assessing the impact of dental caries (DC), traumatic dental injuries (TDI) and dental malocclusions (DM) on the oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) of preschool children from Spanish-speaking countries in population-based samples. The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of DC, TDI and DM, on Colombian preschool children's OHRQoL through a cross-sectional study. The clinical setting included private and public preschools in Cartagena, Colombia. The sample included 643 preschool children aged 1-5 years and their parents, who answered the Colombian version of the Early Childhood Oral Health Impact Scale (C-ECOHIS) and socioeconomic questionnaire. Three calibrated examiners performed clinical assessment of severity of DC according to decayed, missing and filled primary teeth index, TDI and DM. Poisson regression

# Percepción de padres del impacto de desórdenes orales de preescolares Colombianos sobre calidad de vida relacionada con la salud oral

#### RESUMEN

No existen estudios que evalúen el impacto de la caries dental (CD), el trauma dentoalveolar (TDA) y las maloclusiones dentales (MD) sobre la calidad de vida relacionada con la salud bucal (CVRSB) en niños preescolares en muestras de poblaciones de países hispanohablantes. El propósito de este estudio fue evaluar el impacto de CD, TDA y MD sobre la CVRSB en niños colombianos en edad preescolar a través de un estudio transversal. Las evaluaciones clínicas se realizaron en colegios privados y públicos de Cartagena, Colombia, en una muestra de 643 niños en edad de 1-5 años y sus padres quienes respondieron la versión colombiana de la Escala Early Childhood Oral Health Impact Scale (C-ECOHIS) v un cuestionario socioeconómico. Tres examinadores calibrados realizaron la evaluación clínica de la severidad de CD acorde con el Indice ceod para dentición decidua, TDA y MD. La regresión de Poisson asoció las condiciones clínicas y associated clinical and socio economic conditions to the outcome. Overall, 48.2% of parents reported children's oral impacts (total C-ECOHIS score  $\geq 1$ ). The mean (standard deviation) C-ECOHIS scores were 2.20 (0.15). The multivariate adjusted model showed that children from non-nuclear families (RR=1.51; p=0.003), with low and high DC severity (RR=1.51, p=0.003; RR=1.53, p=0.009) and TDI (RR=1.56, p=0.003), were more likely to experience negative impact on total C-ECOHIS scores.

DC and TDI have negative impact on Colombian preschool children's OHRQoL. Children from non-nuclear families have worse OHRQoL at this age, independently of the presence of oral conditions.

*Key words:* Dental caries; tooth injuries; quality of life; malocclusion; child, preschool.

socioeconómicas al puntaje total del C-ECOHIS y sus dominios. En general, el 48,2% de los padres reportaron impactos orales de los niños (puntuación C-ECOHIS total  $\geq 1$ ). La media (DE) del C-ECOHIS fué de 2,20 (0,15). El modelo multivariado ajustado mostró que los niños de familias no nucleares (RR = 1,51; p = 0,003), que tienen baja y alta severidad de CD (RR = 1,51, p = 0,003; RR = 1,53, p = 0,009) y TDA(RR = 1,56, p = 0,003) tuvieron mayor probabilidad de experimentar un impacto negativo en las puntuaciones totales de C-ECOHIS.

La CD y la TDA tienen un impacto negativo sobre la CVRSB en niños preescolares colombianos. Los niños de familias no nucleares tienen peor CVRSB a esta edad, independientemente de la presencia de las condiciones orales.

**Palabras clave:** Caries dental, traumatismos de los dientes, calidad de vida, maloclusión, preescolar.

Oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) is important for measuring the impact of oral health disparities and access to care in different populations<sup>1</sup>. Children are the main priority of Public Health Dentistry, so urgent efforts should be made to apply the OHRQoL concept to children around the world, considering that their OHRQoL can be influenced by social, cultural and economic factors<sup>2</sup>.

In Colombia, the latest national epidemiological survey for Oral Health indicated that the prevalence of dental caries (DC), traumatic dental injuries (TDI) and dental malocclusions (DM) in children aged 1 to 5 years is 38.3%, 34.5% and 15.8%, respectively<sup>3</sup>. At present, there is concrete evidence of the negative impact of DC on preschool children's OHRQoL<sup>4-8</sup>, while the evidence for TDI and DM at this age remains controversial<sup>9-14</sup>. However, as far as we know, all the studies available in the literature are on non-Hispanic children.

The ECOHIS is the most frequently used instrument in the literature to assess OHRQoL in preschool children. Recently, the Latin American Spanish version of the ECOHIS has been crossculturally adapted and validated to evaluate parents' perceptions of their preschool children's OHR-QoL<sup>15</sup>. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, it has not been tested among preschoolers in Spanish-speaking countries to assess the impact of oral diseases and disorders on preschool children's OHRQoL. The first use of the Latin American Spanish version of the ECOHIS would enable OHRQoL comparisons with other cultural and ethnic groups for which the ECOHIS has been adapted and validated, as well as being an outcome measure for evaluating service initiatives and oral health promotion programs in different countries.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of DC, TDI and DM on the OHRQoL of Colombian preschool children and their parents in a population-based sample.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Public Health School of the University of Cartagena in compliance with Colombian National Health Council Resolution 8430/1993, and abided by the Helsinki Convention. Parents signed informed consent forms allowing their children to participate in the study.

### Sampling

A preschool-based cross-sectional study was performed in 2015 on children aged 1 to 5 years enrolled at private and public preschools in Cartagena,Colombia. The city of Cartagena has an estimated population of 1,001,755 inhabitants, including 116,293 preschool children.<sup>16</sup>

A 2-stage random sampling method was adopted to select the sample. The first stage units were all the public and private preschools in the city. A total of six schools, three public and three private, were randomly selected with a chance proportional to the number of enrolled children and considering their strategic distribution among the city districts. Since the preschools were of different sizes, an equal probability selection method (probability proportional to size) was used to ensure that all children would have the same probability of being selected<sup>17</sup>. The second stage units were the 1-to 5-year-old children enrolled in each selected preschool.

Sample size was calculated based on a 5% margin of error, 95% confidence interval and 1.4 correction factor for design effect. As there was no data on the prevalence of oral impacts on the OHRQoL of Colombian preschool children, a prevalence of 50% was used to obtain the largest possible sample and increase the power of the study. The minimum sample was determined to be 538 under five-yearold preschool children, to which 20% was added to compensate for possible dropouts, totaling 641 children. Children of both sexes and with parents/ caregivers who were fluent in Spanish language and who agreed to participate in the study were included. Children undergoing dental treatment in the past 3 months or with systemic and/or neurological diseases were excluded.

# **Data collection**

One of the parents, preferably the one who spent most time with the child, was invited to answer two structured questionnaires in face-to-face interviews at schools: one on socioeconomic conditions and another on the child's OHRQoL. Interviews were conducted by two dental assistants who were blinded to the children's oral examinations. They were trained in the reading and intonation of each question and answer options to the OHRQoL instrument, and in the use of modalizers.

Socioeconomic conditions such as parental age, number of siblings, and family income were collected

as discrete quantitative variables, whereas parental level of education and family structure were collected as ordinal and nominal qualitative variables, respectively. All these variables were then categorized for statistical analyses as follows: child's age [1-2 years old and 3-5 years old]; child's sex [female, male]; type of school [public, private]; age of parents [ $\leq 44$  years old,>44 years old]; number of siblings  $[\leq 2 \text{ children},>$ 2 children]; parental education [< 10 years,  $\geq 10$ years]; family income [as measured in terms of the Colombia minimum wage-CMW, a standard for this type of assessment, which corresponds to approximately US\$ 255.4 per month and was divided into  $\leq 01$  CMW,  $\geq 02$  CMW]; household crowding  $\leq 02$  members per room, >02 members per room] and family structure [Nuclear family, Non-nuclear family (living with single parents or others)].

# **OHRQoL** instrument

The Latin American Spanish version of the Early Childhood Oral Health Impact Scale (ECOHIS) assesses preschool children's OHRQoL according to parents' proxyreports<sup>15</sup>. It contains 13 items corresponding to four domains for items included in the child impact section: symptoms - 01 item; function – 04 items; psychological – 02 items; selfimage / social interaction - 02 items; and two domains for the family impact section: parent distress -02 items and family function -02 items. The responses to each item are coded as follows: 0 = never; 1 = almost never; 2 = sometimes; 3 = often; 4 = very often; 5 = don't know. Total scores are calculated as the sum of the response codes. The answers "do not know" are counted, but excluded from the total ECOHIS score for each patient. A high score means a high degree of negative oral impacts on the child's OHRQoL.

In this study, the Latin American Spanish version of the ECOHIS<sup>15</sup> was adapted to the Colombian context. The Latin American Spanish version of the ECOHIS was pilot-tested on a convenience sample of 30 parents of children aged 1-5 years. These parents did not take part in the final sample. Parents suggested the substitution of some words or expressions by synonyms to facilitate de comprehension of the questionnaire; modifications were made according the parents' comments. Only one expression related to question 7, "has your child been annoyed or bad tempered?" was adapted to "has your child expressed frustration of been sad?". A Revision Panel consisting of three postgraduate professors in Pediatric Dentistry and Family Health Care, all fluent in Spanish, who knew the objectives of the study and had experience in OHRQoL studies, reviewed the results and in consensus developed the Colombian version of the ECOHIS (C-ECOHIS), which was the instrument used in this study.

# Children's oral examination

Four previously calibrated dental examiners independently carried out the children's oral examination. The examiners were all graduate dentists with experience in previous epidemiological surveys. All examiners underwent two 6-h sessions of training exercises with pictures of clinical cases for the study clinical conditions. The calibration process was established with an interval of 1 week between oral clinical sessions to obtain intra- and inter-examiner reliability Kappa values using all examiners' assessments of 20 children who received dental treatment at Dental School of Cartagena University. These children did not form part of the study sample. Intra-and inter-examiner Kappa values were calculated for all clinical conditions.

DC was assessed according to the World Health Organization criteria<sup>17</sup> and calculated in terms of decayed, indicated for extraction owing to caries and filled primary teeth (dmft). The dmft was categorized according to the severity of DC, based on the previously proposed scores<sup>4,18</sup>: dmft 0 = caries free; dmft 1–5 = low severity; and dmft> 6 = high severity. The children were then classified by dental caries experience according to the Knutson index<sup>19</sup>.

TDI was performed using the criteria proposed by Andreasen et al.<sup>20</sup>, which is based on the system adopted by the WHO. It includes injuries to hard dental tissues and pulp; injuries to hard dental tissues, pulp and alveolar process; and injuries to periodontal tissues. The TDI data were then analyzed according to the presence of at least one kind of trauma or the absence (tooth present and sound) of TDI in the upper and lower arches.

DM were diagnosed according to published clinical criteria:anterior open bite – lack of a vertical overlap of the incisors in the occlusal position<sup>4,11,21,22</sup>; anterior cross bite – when the lower incisors were observed in front of the upper ones<sup>4,23,24</sup> posterior cross bite – when the upper primary molars

occluded in lingual relationship to the lower primary molars in centric occlusion<sup>4,10,21,23</sup>, and increased overjet – horizontal distance between the incisal edges of upper and lower central incisors >3 mm<sup>4,10,24</sup>. The presence of at least one of these malocclusions classified the child as having malocclusions.

Table 1: Sociodemographic features of the sample $(n = 643)$ .								
Variables	n (%)							
<i>Child's age</i> Infant (1 – 2 years old) Preschool (3 – 5 years old)	157 (24.2) 486 (75.6)							
<i>Child's sex</i> Female Male	310 (48.2) 333 (51.8)							
<b>Type of School</b> Public Private	326 (50.7) 317 (49.3)							
<i>Age of parents</i> ≤ 44 years old > 44 years old	632 (98.3) 11 (1.7)							
<i>Number of siblings</i> ≤ 2 children > 2 children	509 (79.3) 134 (20.8)							
<i>Parental education level</i> < 10 years ≥ 10 years	121 (18.8) 522 (81.2)							
<i>Mother's education level</i> < 10 years ≥ 10 years	93 (14.5) 550 (85.5)							
<i>Family income</i> ≤ 01 CMW ≥ 02 CMW	277 (43.1) 366 (56.9)							
Household crowding ≤ 2 members per room > 2 members per room	476 (74.0) 167 (26.0)							
<i>Family structure</i> Nuclear family Non-Nuclear family	476 (64.8) 167 (26.0)							
<b>Dental caries experience</b> Absence (dmft = 0) Presence (dmft $\geq$ 1)	446 (69.4) 197 (30.6)							
<b>Dental caries severity</b> Caries free: dmft = 0 Low severity: dmft 1-5 High severity; dmf<6	446(69.4) 160(24.9) 37(5.7)							
<i>Traumatic dental injuries</i> Absence Presence	573 (86.0) 90 (14.0)							
<i>Dental malocclusion</i> Absence Presence	492 (76.5) 151 (23.5)							

#### Data analysis

Data were analyzed using STATA 9.0 (Stata Corp. College Station. TX. USA). Descriptive analyses assessed measures of central tendency (mean, standard deviation and observed range) of the total and individual domains of the C-ECOHIS scores. Poisson regression with robust variance was performed to associate domains and total scores of the C-ECOHIS to oral clinical conditions (DC, TDI and DM) and socioeconomic conditions. Univariate Poisson regression analysis was performed to select variables with a p-value < 0.20 to enter the model. Then the explanatory variables selected were tested in the multivariate adjusted model and retained only when  $p \leq 0.05$ . In these analyses, the outcome was employed as a count outcome, and rate ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated.

# RESULTS

Internal consistency of the C-ECOHIS was analyzed using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, and was 0.87 for total C-ECOHIS scores in the pilot test phase and 0.90 for total C-ECOHIS scores in the final sample size of the study, showing the stability of the instrument.

As a result of the calibration process, interexaminer reliability values for Cohen's Kappa agreement were 0.86 for DC, 0.90 for TDI and 0.91 for DM. Intra-examiner agreement kappa values were 0.87, 0.86 and 0.90 for DC, TDI and DM, respectively.

Altogether, 664 parents were invited to participate in the study, of whom 9 were excluded because they did not meet the study criteria. Of the 655 eligible participants, 643 provided signed parental informed consent to participate in the study (positive response rate = 98.2%).

Table 1 shows the socioeconomic and clinical conditions of the sample. It was observed that 197 children had dental caries experience (30.6%), whereas TDI and DM were present in 14% and 23.5% of the sample, respectively. All questionnaires were fully completed without omitting any answers. Most surveys were answered by children's mothers (84.1%).

Overall, 48.2% of parents reported children's oral impacts (total C-ECOHIS scores  $\geq$  1). The highest impact scores reported were 25 on the child impact section and 16 on the family impact section.

Table 2 shows the mean, standard deviation, and the range observed for the total C-ECOHIS scores and individual domains. The most strongly affected domains were the function domain and the parent distress domain in the child section and family section, respectively.

Table 3 shows the univariate unadjusted analysis of socioeconomic and clinical variables associated with total and individual domains of the C-ECOHIS. There was significant association between some independent variables, total scores, and individual domains (P < 0.05). In general, total C-ECOHIS scores was associated with child's age, type of school, mother's education, family structure, DC experience and its severity, and TDI.

The multivariate adjusted model (Table 4) showed that children from non-nuclear families, those with low and high DC severity and with presence of TDI were more likely to experience a negative impact on total C-ECOHIS scores (RR = 1.51, p = 0.003; RR = 1.53, p = 0.009; RR = 3.38, p = <0.0001; RR = 1.56; p = 0.003, respectively). Children from non-nuclear families, with low and high DC severity and TDI showed a negative impact on the oral symptoms domain (RR = 1.40, p = 0.034; RR = 1.46, p = 0.04; RR = 3.26, p = <0.0001 and RR = 1.60, p = 0.012, respectively). For the functional limitations domain, 3- and 5-year-old children, with mother's education  $\geq 10$  years, from non-nuclear families and those with high DC severity and TDI showed a negative impact (RR = 1.92, p = 0.002; RR = 1.72, p = 0.002;RR = 1.38, p = 0.03; RR = 1.69, p = 0.001; RR = 2.89, p< 0.0001; RR = 1.95, p < 0.0001, respectively). Children from non-nuclear families, with low and high DC severity were associated with negative impact on the psychological domain (RR = 1.76, p = 0.036; RR = 1.48, p = 0.021; RR = 3.22,p = 0.003, respectively). The negative impact on the self-image social interaction domain was associated with children from non-nuclear families (RR = 2.54, p = 0.01), low and high DC severity (p<0.05). The low and high DC severity, and presence of TDI showed negative impact on the parent distress domain (RR = 1.75, p = 0.002; RR = 2.85, p < 0.0001; RR = 1.57, p = 0.017, respectively). For the family function domain, 3- and 5-year-old children and with DC experience showed negative impact on the family function domain (RR = 2.53, p = 0.038; RR = 2.09, p = 0.009, respectively).

Mean standard deviation reacible range
mean, standard deviation, possible range
and range observed overall and for each
C-ECOHIS domain score ( $n = 643$ ).

C-ECOHIS (variances)	Means(SD)	Range Observed							
Oral symptoms (0-4)	0.37 (0.03)	0-4							
Functional limitations (0-16)	0.65 (0.05)	0-9							
Psychological (0-8)	0.21 (0.02)	0-7							
Self-image and social interaction(0-8)	0.12 (0.2)	0-8							
Parent distress (0-8)	0.63 (0.05)	0-8							
Family function(0-8)	0.19 (0.02)	0-8							
Total Score	2.2(0.15)	0-33							

# DISCUSSION

Table 2: Mean.

This is the first study to asses parents' perceptions of the impact of DC, TDI and DM on the OHRQoL of Colombian preschool children using the C-ECOHIS in a population-based sample of 1-to 5-year-old children. The C-ECOHIS showed semantic equivalence and excellent internal consistency for this study.

Our adjusted results show that in general, low and high DC severities were associated with negative impact on total C-ECOHIS scores and most of the C-ECOHIS domains, while DC prevalence was only associated with the family function domain. This may be explained by the similarity of the two variables, which confound the associations in the analysis. Moreover, DC severity appears to be a more sensitive measure because the effect of the disease on children's OHRQoL can be observed in detail. Our results are also in accordance with previous studies assessing the impact of DC on preschool children's OHRQoL using other ECOHIS versions<sup>4,5,7-9,25</sup>. However, although there is conclusive evidence of the impact of DC on preschool children's OHRQoL, never before had this association been confirmed in Spanish-speaking preschoolers. This suggests that oral health perceptions concerning DC are not influenced by ethnic and cultural issues.

TDI showed negative impact on preschool children's OHRQoL, but only on the oral symptoms domain, functional limitations domain, parent distress domain and total C-ECOHIS scores. Most studies in the literature<sup>11-13</sup> found no association between the presence of TDI and preschool children's OHRQoL in total ECOHIS scores. Conversely, and

# Table 3: Univariate analysis of sociodemographic variables and clinical conditions associated with total ECOHIS score and total scores for domains.

	Oral Symptoms Domain		Functional Limitations Domain		Psychological Domain		Self-Image And Social Interaction Domain		Parent Distress Domain		Family Function Domain		Total Ecohis Score	
	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ
Child age Infant (1 - 2 years old) Preschool (3 - 5 years old)	1.29 (0.84–1.97)	0.235	1.07 (1.19–2.93)	0.006	0.96 (0.53-1.74)	0.917	1.77 (0.67-4.69)	0.247	1.11 (0.74-1.65)	0.597	3.12 (1.26-7.70)	0.013	1.42 (1.00-2.04)	0.049
<b>Type of school</b> Private Public	1.33 (0.97–1.83)	0.071	1.58 (1.15- 2.18)	0.005	2.05 (1.22-3.44)	0.006	1.94 (0.90-4.20)	0.091	0.93 (0.67-1.28)	0.661	1.42 (0.78-2.55)	0.242	1.34 (1.025.77)	0.032
Number of siblings ≤2 children > 2 children	1.29 (0.88–1.88)	0.178	1.39 (0.96–2.00)	0.074	1.62 (0.88-2.98)	0.012	1.13 (0.51-2.53)	0.749*	1.02 (0.70-1.49)	0.893	0.74 (0.33-1.63)	0.464	1.20 (0.85-1.70)	0.279
Father's education < 10 years ≥ 10 years	0.99 (0.64 – 1.53)	0.984	1.43 (0.99–2.07)	0.055	1.27 (0.63-2.58)	0.495	1.48 (0.69-3.18)	0.307	1.04 (0.70–1.56)	0.816	1.56 (0.79-3.04)	0.193	1.23 (0.86-1.76)	0.237
Mother's education < 10 years ≥ 10 years	1.21 (0.77 – 1.90)	0.387	1.86 (1.29-2.69)	0.001	2.12(1.10-4.10)	0.025	1.90 (0.86-4.17)	0.108	1.01 (0.63–1.62)	0.945	1.89 (0.94-3.76)	0.070	1.51 (1.03-2.22)	0.033
Family income ≤ One CSW ≥ Two CSW	0.96 (0.69 – 1.32)	0.804	1.24 (0.90-1.70)	0.183	1.43 (0.85-2.42)	0.170	0.96 (0.46-2.00)	0.932	0.84 (0.61-1.16)	0.286	1.09 (0.61-1.93)	0.755	1.05 (0.79-1.38)	0.715
Household crowding ≤2 members > 2 members	1.32 (0.93–1.87)	0.119	1.56 (1.11-2.18)	0.009	1.84 (1.07-3.17)	0.027	1.50 (0.70-3.24)	0.292	1.18 (0.83–1.67)	0.342	0.87 (0.44-1.70)	0.691	1.35 (0.99-1.84)	0.051
Family structure Nuclear family Non-nuclear family	1.43 (1.04–1.97)	0.027	1.78 (1.30-2.44)	<0.001	1.84 (1.09-3.09)	0.021	2.79 (1.36-5.74)	0.005	1.26 (0.90–1.75)	0.169	1.34 (0.76-2.38)	0.308	1.56 (1.18-2.06)	0.002
Dental caries experience Absence Presence	1.81 (1.32-2.50)	<0.0001	1.62 (1.16-2.26)	0.004	1.94 (1.14-3.30)	0.014	3.80 (1.82-7.95)	<0.001	1.94 (1.40-2.68)	<0.001	2.69 (1.53-4.72)	0.001	1.03 (1.00-1.06)	0.011
Dental caries severity Caries free: dmft 0 Low severity: dmft 1-5 High severity; dmf<6	1.52 (1.05-2.18) 3.28 (2.13-5.06)	0.024	1.19 (0.81-1.73) 3.67 (2.35-5.71)	0.356	1.57 (0.86-2.87) 3.33 (1.50-7.39)	0.137	2.78 (1.30-5.94) 7.23 (2.37-22.0)	0.008	1.76 (1.23-2.53) 2.80 (1.76-4.45)	0.002	2.41 (1.28-4.52) 3.21 (1.42-7.25)	0.006	1.61 (1.17-2.21) 3.42 (2.28-5.14)	0.003
Traumatic dental injuries Absence Presence	1.53 (1.03–2.28)	0.035	1.67 (1.12-2.49)	0.012	0.90 (0.39-2.09)	0.818	2.11 (0.79-5.64)	0.133	1.56 (1.06–2.30)	0.024	1.00 (0.50-1.98)	0.987	1.49 (1.05-2.10)	0.022
Dental malocclusion Absence Presence	0.92 (0.65– 1.31)	0.656	1.08 (0.74-1.56)	0.672	0.96 (0.56-1.66)	0.899	0.59 (0.26-1.31)	0.198	0.21 (0.86-1.71)	0.269	1.59 (0.84-3.00)	0.152	1.09 (0.81-1.45)	0.555

in line with our results, only one study<sup>9</sup> found a negative impact of the presence of TDI on total scores. Moreover, few studies<sup>12,13</sup> have assessed the impact of the presence of TDI on the ECOHIS domains using regression analysis, but no association was found. Notwithstanding, one of these studies also used a classification for severity of TDI<sup>13</sup>, showing that complicated TDI has negative impact on the oral symptoms and self-image/social

interaction domains. Our results corroborate the findings for the symptoms domain, however, we also found associations for other domains of the C-ECOHIS. Discrepancies between our results and previous studies can be explained by the use of different clinical criteria and analysis for TDI. Nevertheless, although some types of TDI can be expected to have negative effects on symptoms and to cause functional limitations and parental

	Oral Symptoms Domain		Functional Limitations Domain		Psychological Domain		Self-Image And Social Interaction Domain		Parent Distress Domain		Family Function Domain		Total Ecohis Score	
	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Р	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ	RR (95% IC)	Ρ
Child age Infant (1 - 2 years old) Preschool (3 - 5 years old)	t		1.92(1.26-2.93)	0.002	t		t		t		2.53 (1.05-6.17)	0.038	t	
Mother's education < 10 years ≥ 10 years	t		1.72 (1.21-2.44)	0.002	t		t		t		t		t	
Household crowding ≤ 2 members > 2 members	t		1.38(1.03-1.86)	0.03	t		t		t		t		t	
Family structure Nuclear family Non-nuclear family	1.40 (1.02-1.91)	0.034	1.69 (1.25-2.29)	0.001	1.76 (1.03-3.01)	0.036	2.54 (1.23-5.26)	0.001	t		t		1.51(1.15-1.99)	0.003
Dental caries experience Absence Presence	t		t		t		t		t		2.09(1.20-3.6)	0.009	t	
Dental caries severity Caries free: dmft 0 Low severity: dmft 1-5 High severity; dmf<6	1.46(1.01-2.10) 3.26(2.16-4.92)	0.040 <0.0001	0.94(0.66-1.34) 2.89 (1.93-4.34)	0.75 <0.0001	1.48(0.79-2.76) 3.22(1.47-7.02)	0.21 0.003	2.52 (1.15-5.51) 6.84 (2.36-19.81)	0.021 <0.0001	1.75 (1.23-2.51) 2.85 (1.80-4.51)	0.002 <0.0001	1.09(0.62-1.91) 1.34(0.54-3.34)	0.74 0.52	1.53(1.11-2.13) 3.38(2.35-4.86)	0.009
Traumatic dental injuries Absence Presence	1.60 (1.10-2.31)	0.012	1.95 (1.40-2.71)	<0.0001	t		t		1.57 (1.08-2.30)	0.017	t		1.56(1.16-2.11)	0.003

Table 4: Multivariate analysis of sociodemographic variables and clinical conditions associated with to	tal
scores for domains and ECOHIS.	

distress, our study did not assess whether this was true. Overall, the association between TDI and preschoolers' OHRQoL is still controversial. The negative impact of TDI on preschoolers seems to be more frequently reported in Spanish-speaking preschoolers, since presence of TDI affected not only total C-ECOHIS scores, but also most of its domains. DM did not show a negative impact on the OHRQoL of preschool children and their parents. Our results are in line with a recent systematic review<sup>14</sup> that did not find any type of association between malocclusions and impact on preschool children's OHRQoL according to the ECOHIS. At this age, children probably do not perceive this impact, which is often related to non-nutritive sucking habits. In addition, parents may attribute greater importance to oral symptoms than to any occlusal and aesthetic changes caused by the bad position of primary teeth<sup>13</sup> which will be replaced by permanent teeth in the future. Thus, the effect of malocclusions on OHRQoL is modified by the age

of the children and their cultural environment<sup>14</sup>. Our study indicates that perceptions concerning the impact of DM on preschool children's OHRQoL is similar around the world when assessed by proxy reports. However, as this is the first study using the C-ECOHIS on Spanish-speaking preschoolers, further studies in other Spanish populations would be required to confirm our results.

A systematic review<sup>2</sup> that assessed the influence of parental socioeconomic status and home environment on children's OHRQoL suggested that children from families with high income, parental education and family economy had better OHRQoL. In addition, family structure, among other factors, was a significant predictor of children's OHRQoL<sup>2</sup>. In accordance with the systematic review, we have also found significant associations between C-ECOHIS scores, its domains and some socioeconomic and home environment conditions such as family structure, child's age and mother's education. Our study found that the variable most strongly associated with worse preschool children's OHRQoL was family structure. Children from non-nuclear families were more likely to experience negative impact not only on total C-ECOHIS scores, but also on all the domains related to the child impact section. In this regard, living in a non-nuclear family predisposes preschool children to higher prevalence of  $DC^{26}$ , reflecting a situation of unstable economic circumstances and less ability to access health services and preventive tools, which could lead to higher oral impacts. Thus, mothers and children in non-nuclear families require substantially more attention and support than those from nuclear families to eliminate their disadvantage in developing oral diseases.<sup>26</sup>

This study is the first to test the C-ECOHIS in Spanish-speaking preschoolers and indicates the need for public policies providing comprehensive

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Beverly Hills School and John F. Kennedy School in Cartagena, Colombia, for allowing us to conduct this study, and the children's parents for cooperating with the necessary information. We also thank David Madrid for helping us to evaluate the children clinically.

# REFERENCES

- Sischo L, Broder HL. Oral health-related quality of life: what. why. how. and future implications. J Dent Res 2011; 90:1264-70.
- 2. Kumar S, Kroon J, Lalloo R. A systematic review of the impact of parental socio-economic status and home environment characteristics on children's oral health related quality of life. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2014; 12: 41.
- ENSAV IV. Ministerio de salud y protección social. IV Estudio Nacional de Salud Bucal. 2014. URL: https://www.minsalud.gov.co/ sites/rid/Lists/Biblioteca Digital/RIDE/VS/PP/ENSAB-IV-Situacion-Bucal-Actual.pdf
- 4. Abanto J, Carvalho TS, Mendes FM, Wanderley MT, et al. Impact of oral diseases and disorders on oral health-related quality of life of preschool children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2011; 39:105-114.
- 5. Wong HM, McGrath CP, King NM, Lo EC. Oral healthrelated quality of life in Hong Kong preschool children. Caries Res 2011; 45:370-376.
- Gradella CM, Bernabé E, Bönecker M, Oliveira LB. Caries prevalence and severity. and quality of life in Brazilian 2- to 4-year-old children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2011; 39:498-504.
- Li MY, Zhi QH, ZhouY, Qiu RM, et al. Impact of early childhood caries on oral health-related quality of life of preschool children. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2015; 16: 65-72.
- 8. Corrêa-Faria P, Paixão-Gonçalves S, Paiva SM, Martins-Júnior PA, et al. Dental caries. but not malocclusion or

oral care to children at this age and redirecting efforts for prevention for DC and TDI in order to improve OHRQoL. In addition, the first use of the C-ECOHIS has important implications for research and practice. In this regard, the first use of the C-ECOHIS in a Spanish-speaking country enables comparisons with other cultural and ethnic groups around the world, as well as providing support for public oral health programs and dental care services for this age group.

# CONCLUSIONS

Dental caries and traumatic dental injuries have negative impact on Colombian preschool children's OHRQoL according to proxy reports, whereas malocclusions do not. In general, children from nonnuclear families have worse OHRQoL at this age, independently of the presence of oral conditions.

#### CORRESPONDENCE

Dra. Shyrley Díaz Cárdenas, Facultad de Odontología, Universidad de Cartagena Departamento de Odontología Preventiva y Social, Campus de la Salud, Zaragocilla, Cartagena, Colombia sdiazc@unicartagena.edu.co

developmental defects. negatively impacts preschoolers' quality of life. Int J Paediatr Dent 2016; 26:211-219.

- 9. Kramer PF, Feldens CA, Ferreira SH, Bervian J, et al. Exploring the impact of oral diseases and disorders on quality of life of preschool children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2013; 41:327-335.
- Aldrigui JM, Abanto J, Carvalho TS, Mendes FM, et al. Impact of traumatic dental injuries and malocclusions on quality of life of young children. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2011; 9:78. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-9-78.
- 11. Viegas CM, Scarpelli AC, Carvalho AC, Ferreira F de M, et al. Impact of traumatic dental injury on quality of life among Brazilian preschool children and their families. Pediatr Dent 2012; 34:300-306.
- 12. Goettems ML, Ardenghi TM, Romano AR, Demarco FF, et al. Influence of maternal dental anxiety on oral health-related quality of life of preschool children. Qual Life Res 2011; 20:951-959.
- Abanto J, Tello G, Bonini GC, Oliveira LB, et al. Impact of traumatic dental injuries and malocclusions on quality of life of preschool children: a population-based study. Int J Paediatr Dent 2015; 25:18-28.
- Kragt L, Dhamo B, Wolvius EB, Ongkosuwito EM. The impact of malocclusions on oral health-related quality of life in children- a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Oral Investig 2016; 20:1881-1894.
- 15. Bordoni N, Ciaravino O, Zambrano O, Villena R, Beltran-Aguilar E, Squassi A. Early Childhood Oral Health Impact

Scale (ECOHIS). Translation and validation in Spanish language. Acta Odontol Latinoam. 2012; 25:270-278.

- 16. Vélez Trujillo D SC. Plan Sectorial de Educación Ahora Si Cartagena 2013-2015. Educación. La gran Estrategia para la inclusion social. Cartagena; 2014. URL:http://servicios.cartagena.gov.co/PlanDesarrollo 2013/Documentos/PLANDEDESARROLLOAHORASiFi nal.pdf
- 17. WHO. Oral health surveys: basics methods. 4th edn. Geneva: Word Health Organization; 1997. URL: http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/handle/10665/ 41905/9241544937.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
  18. Heillet KP. O'servelo PK. Pettern and accusation of each of a second seco
- Hallet KB, O'rourke PK. Pattern and severity of early childhood caries. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2006; 34:25-35.
- Knutson JW. An index of the prevalence of dental caries in school children. Public Health Rep 1944; 59: 253-263.
- Andreasen JO, Andreasen FM. Textbook and color atlas of traumatic injuries to the teeth. Copenhagen: Munksgaard. Wiley Online Library; 1993; 216-256.

- 21. Carvalho AC, Paiva SM, Scarpelli AC, Viegas CM, et al. Prevalence of malocclusion in primary dentition in a population-based sample of Brazilian preschool children. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2011; 12:107-111.
- 22. de Vasconcelos Cunha Bonini GA, Marcenes W, Oliveira LB, Sheiham A, et al. Trends in the prevalence of traumatic dental injuries in Brazilian preschool children. Dent Traumatol 2009; 25:594-598.
- 23. Foster TD, Hamilton MC. Occlusion in the primary dentition. Study of children at 2 and one-half to 3 years of age. Br Dent J 1969; 126:76-79.
- 24. Robson F, Ramos-Jorge ML, Bendo CB, Vale MP, et al. Prevalence and determining factors of traumatic injuries to primary teeth in preschool children. Dent Traumatol 2009; 25:118-122.
- 25. Naidu R, Nunn J, Donnelly-Swift E. Oral health-related quality of life and early childhood caries among preschool children in Trinidad. BMC Oral Health 2016; 16:128.
- 26. Plutzer K. Keirse MJ. Incidence and prevention of early childhood caries in one- and two-parent families. Child Care Health Dev 2011; 37:5-10.