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ABSTRACT
The surface of gutta-percha cones was evaluated after using five different cutting methods, including a new TipSnip device. The gutta-percha cones were cut off using: 1) TipSnip, 2) a single cut with a scalpel blade using a gauge, 3) two cuts with a scalpel blade using a gauge, 4) a razor blade against a glass slab or 5) scissors. Samples were examined under stereomicroscopy and observed by three highly qualified evaluators. The Kappa coefficient with a 95% confidence interval was used and all scores were tabulated and analyzed statistically using a nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test with a 5% significance level. Cutting with scissors produced significant irregularities in the cone surface, providing the worst result. TipSnip, two cuts with scalpel blade, and cut with a razor against a glass slab provided the best results. A regular surface on the tips of gutta-percha cones improves apical fit, and may be achieved by means of different cutting methods.
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EVALUACIÓN DE LA SUPERFICIE DE CONOS DE GUTAPERCHA DESPUÉS DE SER CALIBRADOS CON DIFERENTES MÉTODOS DE CORTE

RESUMEN
Fue evaluada la superficie de conos de gutapercha después de ser calibrados con cinco diferentes métodos de corte, incluyendo el nuevo dispositivo TipSnip. Los conos de gutapercha fueron cortados con TipSnip, con un corte con hoja de bisturí en regla calibrada, con corte de ida y vuelta con hoja de bisturí en regla calibrada, con hoja de afilador sobre una placa de vidrio, o con tijeras. Las muestras fueron observadas bajo microscopía estereomicroscópica y examinadas por tres evaluadores altamente calificados y previamente calibrados utilizando el coeficiente Kappa con intervalo de confianza del 95%. Todos los resultados fueron tabulados y analizados estadísticamente mediante el test no paramétrico de Kruskal-Wallis con un nivel de significancia del 5%. El corte con tijeras produjo significativas irregularidades en la superficie del cono, siendo el grupo con peores resultados. El corte con TipSnip, el corte de ida y vuelta con hoja de bisturí, y la hoja de afilador obtuvieron los mejores resultados. Una superficie regular en la punta de los conos de gutapercha mejora la adaptación apical, y esto puede conseguirse por medio de diferentes métodos de corte.
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INTRODUCTION
The purpose of endodontic treatment is to remove pulp tissue, eliminate root canal infection and fill the root canal system properly1-3. The root canal filling stage of root canal treatment aims to entirely fill the recently decontaminated root canal system in order to prevent bacterial micro-leakage from the oral environment and apical and periradicular tissues4. Fluid infiltration from the periradicular tissues into the root canal system may provide nutrition to remaining bacteria and enable their proliferation. These bacteria may enter through the apical fora-
cal diameter of the instruments and standardized gutta-percha cones of the same gauge\textsuperscript{13,16-19}. To solve this problem, auxiliary gutta-percha cones can be calibrated and also used as the main cone\textsuperscript{8,13,14}. The use of calibrated auxiliary cones may provide better apical fit than standardized cones, and has become a widely used technique\textsuperscript{19}. In addition to apical fit, technical progress in instrumentation has led to a greater taper in the final root canal preparation. Many professionals use the cones as main aids, since they have greater taper than standardized cones, filling the root canal system better and requiring fewer accessory cones for obturation\textsuperscript{20}. Another reason for choosing auxiliary cones is the greater mechanical strength of the tip conferred by the greater taper\textsuperscript{14,21}

An irregular gutta-percha cut can cause improper fit of the main cone and does not provide a proper seal\textsuperscript{21}. Auxiliary cones are calibrated by cutting the cone tip in the same gauge of the last apical root preparation instrument with the aid of a gauge. The cones are usually cut with scissors, scalpel blades or razors\textsuperscript{8,22,23}. A device called TipSnip (SybronEndo, USA) for calibration and endodontic cutting of gutta-percha was recently launched on the market. The aim of this study was therefore to examine and compare the gutta-percha surfaces after using five different cutting methods, including the new TipSnip device.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Fifty medium gutta-percha cones (Dentsply, Petrópolis, Brazil) were used for the experiment. The cones were divided into five groups with ten specimens for each group, according to the cutting method. In group 1, cones were cut using the TipSnip. The samples were placed in the space corresponding to ISO diameter #45 and the device was used following the manufacturer’s instructions (Fig. 1). In group 2, a gauge (Malleifer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and a scalpel blade (Med Goldman, Santa Catarina, Brazil) were used. Cones were placed at the diameter #45 of the gauge and the surplus gutta-percha was cut with a single stroke of the scalpel blade. In group 3 the gauge and the scalpel blade were used, but the surplus was cut with the scalpel blade using two strokes, the second in the opposite direction to the first. In group 4 cone diameter #45 of the gauge was introduced with the help of a meter, and another ruler marked in millimeters was used to measure the excess gutta-percha. The cone was placed on a glass slab and the surplus was cut with a razor blade. In group 5 the samples were placed in a #45 diameter gauge, the surplus was measured as in group 4 and cut evenly with scissors (Odous, Belo Horizonte, Brazil).

After the cuts, all cones were assessed with a stereo-microscope (Leica MZ75, Wetzlar, Germany), where the surface cut was evaluated for final texture, presence of irregularities and shape of the cone tip. The images obtained were computed and observed by three highly qualified evaluators. The evaluators issued their assessments of the regularity of the surface of the cones obtained after each method. The evaluations were made using a scoring system by which each group was given a score from 0 to 3, according to the presence or absence of irregularities. Score 0 (zero) was assigned to samples where the cone tip shape had not suffered deformation and the final surface was flat. Score 1 (one) was assigned to areas that were flat, although a little excess gutta-percha could be seen forming slight irregularity around the cone without compromising the final flat surface. Score 2 (two) was assigned to cones that had excess material on the gutta-percha surface, forming an irregularity. Score 3 (three) was assigned to samples that showed changes in the apical format and/or more surplus material on their surface. The Kappa coefficient with a 95% confidence interval was used to assess inter-observer concordance with results of 0.89, and was classified as in almost perfect agreement. All the scores were tabulated and analyzed statistically using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test with a significance level of 5%.

**Fig. 1:** TipSnip device (A) used to cut gutta-percha cones tips. The cone is positioned into the selected gauge (B) and the device is activated (C). The TipSnip has a blade inside that cuts the gutta-percha cones (D).
RESULTS
Fig. 2 shows the results. Clean cuts with the TipSnip device were the best. There was no statistical difference between group 3 (two cuts with scalpel blade) and group 4 (glass slab). Cuts made with scissors showed the greatest irregularities. Fig. 3 shows the pictures taken under stereoscopic microscope.

DISCUSSION
Three-dimensional sealing of root canals is important in achieving endodontic success. The sealing ability of filling materials and the fit of the main gutta-percha cone in the apical foramen are essential to obtain this proper sealing. The gutta-percha cone cut should allow a good fit with no irregularities on the final surface obtained. Discrepancies at the cone tip after cutting can theoretically prevent the fit required in the apical third, allowing infiltration.

There are several methods for cutting gutta-percha cones, usually with the aid of a device to calibrate them. Among the commercially available devices is the gauge, which needs an auxiliary tool to make the calibrated cone cut. The most commonly used cutting tools are scissors, scalpel blades and razor blades. A new device called TipSnip both calibrates and cuts the cone. The results of this study indicate that TipSnip is the best cutting method for obtaining a regular surface on the gutta-percha cone. Some observations can be made on the samples, such as the rounding of the cone surface in the area where the cut was started while the opposite surface, where the cut is finished, is straight. However, the apical surface of gutta-percha shows no irregularities. A disadvantage of this new method is the additional cost of the device. Similar results were observed in the group in which the cone was cut in two strokes with a scalpel blade, where the irregularities formed with the first cut are removed by the second stroke, providing a satisfactory regular surface.

Studies have shown that using a razor blade against a hard surface such as a glass slab is a good method for cutting gutta-percha. However, it does not allow accurate calibration of the auxiliary cone.

Therefore, the method of measuring the area to be cut before cutting on the glass slab has been included in this study. Measuring the cone and using a glass slab to cut on also led to satisfactory results in the regularity of the cone surface, whereas measu-
ring and marking the surplus for cutting hinders the accuracy of the cut because the operator might mark the cutting surface incorrectly or inaccurately.

The single cut with the scalpel blade against the gauge led to the formation of an irregular cone surface that was consistently observed in the samples. It was found that the cones were rendered irregular when the scalpel blade reached the end of the cut, by a surplus of gutta-percha retained between the cutting surface of the blade and the gauge. The samples cut with scissors have the most noticeable irregularities on the surface of the cones, with the formation of two planes converging in apical direction. Similar results have been reported, contraindicating the use of this method to cut the cones.

Because of the importance of getting a good gutta-percha apical fit, the results of this study lead to the conclusion that regular gutta-percha surfaces can be obtained by different cutting methods, such as the TipSnip, the cut with a double-blade and the use of a razor blade against glass slab. Thus, the professional should select the best method considering results, execution time, practicality and cost.
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